TTAB

IN THE UNITED STATE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

H-D MICHIGAN, INC., OPPOSER, V. BRYAN BROEHM, APPLICANT OPPOSITION NO. 91177156

78 896325

ANSWER

In response to the Opposer's claims:

Paragraph 1: Admit

Paragraph 2: Admit

Paragraph 3: Admit

Paragraph 4: Admit

Paragraph 5: Admit

Paragraph 6: Admit

Paragraph 7: Admit

Paragraph 8: Admit

Paragraph 9: Admit

Paragraph 10: Admit

Paragraph 11: Admit

Paragraph 12: Admit

Paragraph 13: Admit

Paragraph 14: Admit

Paragraph 15: Deny

In response to the Opposer's claim that my wording of Holy-DivineSon is an infringement of their trademark because it imitates the sound and appearance of the Harley-Davidson wording on their mark, I ask then if all trademarks of any similar manner were presented for consideration, would they then be



opposed too? Holy-DivineSon is no more an infringement of the Opposer's trademark nor any more similar than if a person named Henry Dickinson wanted to trademark his name inside a logo that consisted of a rectangle positioned mid-way between the top and bottom of a square, or any polygonal shape, behind the rectangle. Noteworthy also is the Opposer's reference to the use of a similar font, which to best of my knowledge and understanding is not an element which can be trademarked. Furthermore, the positioning of the words Jesus and Christ above and below the banner shape in my logo is no different than hundreds of other similar trademarked logos, using different words. Additionally, the words Jesus and Christ are of no similarity in either meaning or connotation to the words Motor and Cycles, which would clearly lend to a reasonable person being able to distinguish between my proposed mark and logo, and that of the Opposer.

Paragraph 16: Deny

It is my position that under the defense of Parody, that while similar, my mark and logo are distinguishable from the Opposer's mark and logos to such a degree that any reasonable person observing my mark and logo would unmistakably recognize that it is not the Opposer's mark and logo. A case in point would be the number of comments made to me about my mark and logo design that I have affixed as a decal to my motorcycle helmet. Instead of being said to me that they thought it was the Opposer's mark and logo, many have recognized its unique character and commented as to my intent in displaying the mark and logo as a means of evangelizing to others of my belief in Jesus Christ as the holy, divine Son of God.

My proposed logo design is distinguishable and different from the Opposer's in that my design is clearly the shape of a Maltese Cross (which design is used in hundreds of other motorcycle and other related trademarks and designs) with a banner across the horizontal bar of the cross, and not a shield with a bar in front of it. The banner is representative of the banner that was place on the cross where Jesus was

crucified that in that it read "King of the Jews", and thereby He was the holy and divine Son of God: hence, Holy-DivineSon. My placement of the "Banner" is similar to the Opposer's placement of the "Bar" element on their logo, just as many other trademark logos resemble. Such placement and its similarity should not be grounds for legitimate opposition. Moreover, I have designed my proposed logo with rounded corners and edges which differs from the Opposer's sharp, pointed corners and edges. Such rounded corners actually make my logo a Maltese Cross, polygonal shape, with a rounded banner, which again differs from the Opposer's logo. All of these described elements of my proposed mark and design make the two designs clearly distinguishable from one another and eliminates any likelihood of confusion.

Paragraph 17: Admit to statements one through 14. Deny statements fifteen and sixteen.

Paragraph 18: Admit

Paragraph 19: Deny

My proposed trademark, design, and logo are meant to be a means of Christians displaying their faith. While they may well be displayed by motorcycle riders as well as others, the trademark will no more dilute the distinctive quality of the Opposer's marks than any other trademark design that has been parodied for the means of evangelizing in some manner or another.

Paragraph 20: Deny

I highly contest that any meager financial gain I may have from the sale of any products utilizing my proposed trademark and logo will dilute the Opposer's multi-million dollar annual profits, and refute their claim that they are being and will be damaged by the registration of my proposed trademark and logo.

WHEREFORE, Applicant respectfully requests that the opposition be denied, and that the registration of the mark in Application Serial No. 78896325 be approved registration.

Dated: July 07, 2007

Respectfully Submitted,

Duyan C. Besch.

Bryan C. Broehm

		EXPRESS	Customer Copy
		MAIL WIEDSTATES POSTAL SERVICE . PO	st Office To Addressee
			No. Contractive Co.
EB 586779655 US		designation of the control of the co	
2 72 Cods Day of Convery	The second secon	Single / Alterige DAM	7820
The Control of Control	Signin Ancels Fee	e Coy sphoery Doto 1970s (1964)	physics /
of a facility of the facility	William Insulance Fee	USTOMERUSEONLY AVMENT BY ACCOUNT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100
2000 AC109(200) TASA (\$6,000) C.5.888	S S S	apreas Mad Corporate Acrd. No. customer register.	Therefore troublings is used it to wash or of expension. In contract of the depth of the first property of period (order to the commen- cation and in contract to contract up to
Fine Committee C	16 /6.24 / 5	ecland Applicity Acct. No. of Public Test entitles gottofuscilles. Acct. No. acctionus lines colo cold strong of these	R03-84-1003/200-21-200-21-200-21-200-21-200-21-200-21-200-21-200-21-200-21-200-21-200-21-200-21-200-21-200-21
336		MC DELIVERY Beats Square	gradica disensi sensi di
FROM prease stem onche (50 L.	23-7025	TO: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE !	
CAYNI C BROK	77 / 7 · 60 / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Fine Care 1 Casoe 85	on, Tarabow
331 GAZETTA 1		GARRET TOWN	CAVE N.W.
West Prince Rich	2	Washing Town	
Sing wings	33413	PY - 4 N S. ATORESASS ONLY, NO NOT USE FOR FOR	Senectic curies
FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING		200000	NAMES SELOW.
visit www.usps.com		FOR INTERNATIONAL DESTRATIONS, WEST COMMITS	

Degn C. Groch

07.17.07



Date: 07/17/2007

Bryan Broehm:

The following is in response to your 07/17/2007 request for delivery information on your Express Mail item number EB58 6779 655U S. The delivery record shows that this item was delivered on 07/17/2007 at 11:09 AM in WASHINGTON, DC 20001 to M CONYERS. The scanned image of the recipient information is provided below.

Signature of Recipient:

od Michael Dionyers

Address of Recipient:

901 NEWYORK AUC

Thank you for selecting the Postal Service for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office or postal representative.

Sincerely,

United States Postal Service

07.17.07



Home | Halp | Sign in

Track & Confirm

FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: EB58 6779 655U S

Status: Delivered

Treck & Confirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

Your item was delivered at 11:09 AM on July 17, 2007 in WASHINGTON,

DC 20001. The item was signed for by M CONYERS.

Additional Datatie > \ Datata to USPS.com Maino > \

(802)

Ratification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email.

Proof of Delivery

Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. (Co>)



POSTAL INSPECTORS Preserving the Trust

site map contact us government services jobs National & Premier Accounts Copyright © 1999-2004 USPS. All Rights Reserved. Terms of Use Privacy Policy



Home | Halp | Sign in

Track & Confirm

FAQs

(CD)

Track & Confirm

Saarch Results

Label/Receipt Number: EB58 6779 655U S **Detailed Results:**

- Delivered, July 17, 2007, 11:09 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20001
 Arrival at Unit, July 17, 2007, 9:56 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20001
- Enroute, July 17, 2007, 7:32 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20074
- Acceptance, July 16, 2007, 3:44 pm, PALM BEACH GARDENS, FL
- Enroute, July 16, 2007, 3:43 pm, WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33416

∢ Besti

· Rotum to USPS.com Matto >)

Natification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email.

Treek & Corfirm

Enter Label/Receipt Number.

Proof of Delivery

Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. (♂>>





POSTAL INSPECTORS Preserving the Trust

site map contact us government services jobs Mational & Premier Accounts Copyright @ 1999-2004 USPS. All Rights Reserved. Terms of Use Privacy Policy



07.17.07